

United States Patent and Trademark Office

prop

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address of AMISSIC OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARCS Washington, Dec. 20231 www.uspto.gov

And to the state of			#	
APPLICATION NO. 09/650,122	FILING DATE 08/29/2000	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Mitsusuke Kyogoku	ASMJP.062AUS	CONFIRMATION NO. 9091

20995

7590

01.09/2003

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER KACKAR, RAM N PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

1763 DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/650,122		KYOGOKU ET AL.		AL.		
		Examiner			Art Unit			
		Pam N Kackar			1763			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cove	rshee	et with the co	orrespondence	address		
market &	ar Donly							
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period unre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statular reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how oly within the statutory m I will apply and will expire	vever, m inimum e SIX (6	of thirty (30) days) MONTHS from	ely filed s will be considered to the mailing date of th o (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imely. is communication.		
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	/30/2002						
1)[\]	2b\⊠ T	his action is non-	final.					
2a)	This action is a management of the allege	uance except for	forma	al matters, pi	rosecution as t	o the merits is		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quayl	e, 193	35 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Dispos	ition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 11 is/are pending in the a	application.	orotic	n				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn trom consid	erauo	().				
5)[
6)[∑								
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·	4				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requi	reme	Πt.				
Applic	ation Papers							
9)[☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	mer. tod or b\□ obi	ected:	to by the Ex	aminer.			
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	the drawing(s) be	held ir	abevance.	See 37 CFR 1.8	5(a).		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \ \ appr	oved	b)∏ disapp	roved by the Ex	aminer.		
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	reply to this Office	action	n.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	Examiner.						
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	EXC. MILES						
Priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ojan priority unde	r 35 l	J.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eigh phonty ande		•				
	a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	onte have heen r	eceiv	ed.				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the international	list of the certifie	d cop	ies not rece	ived.			
1.41	Asknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority und	er 35	U.S.C. 9 11	3(e) (to a provi	isionai application).		
I	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nrovisional addi	icalio	II IIas Deciri	00011001			
l l	nment(s)							
1) 📙	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5 o(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Sumr Notice of Inform Other:	nary (PTO-413) Pi nal Patent Applica	aper No(s) · tion (PTO-152)		
3) 🗀	Information Disclosure Statement, (Part of Paner No. 11		

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams (US Patent 6959507) in view of Predhome Jr (US Patent Re 30188) and Hautau (US Patent 4134305).

Adams discloses a multi-chamber load locking device divided in to an upper chamber (Fig2-34) a lower chamber (Fig2-38) and an intermediate section located between the upper chamber and the lower chamber (Fig2-36), a divider plate (Fig2-73) having an upper side and a lower side both of which are adapted to support wafers (Fig2-66 and68). The plate (Fig2-73) moves reciprocally between an upper position and a lower position so that when the plate is at upper position it divides and seals the upper chamber from intermediate section and lower chamber (Fig2-38) and when the plate is at lower position the plate divides and seals the lower chamber from intermediate section and upper chamber (Fig2-34). Adam also discloses seals (Fig2-58 and 60) and doors on all openings (Col 4 line 16).

Adam does not disclose the plate lift mechanism of cylindrical cam structure and a rotary actuator.

Art Unit: 1763

Predhome Jr discloses cam follower as part of a cylindrical body coaxial with the cam structure (Fig 5 -82) and discloses the cylinder with the cam grooves outside the cam follower undergoing reciprocal motion.

Hautau (US Patent 4134305) discloses a cylindrical cam structure (Fig 4-114) whose rotation causes a vertical movement of cam follower (Fig 4-124) where the cam follower is outside the cylinder with grooves.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to install a mechanism of cam groove and cam follower to Adam's load lock to provide for the reciprocal motion of the divider plate as the mechanism taught by Predhome Jr and Hautau is lot simpler, cheaper and reliable to use and provides breaking against vertical movement at stops.

In addition to the single divider plate Adam discloses two other redundant plates. It would have been obvious to eliminate those two redundant plates to reduce cost.

Claim 3: Predhome Jr discloses a cam cylinder having a cam groove having a shape which can be divided in five sections (i) upper horizontal (ii) lower horizontal (iii) intermediate section (iv) upper transition section and (v) lower transition section to make sure that while the plate is going towards sealing to any position it moves slowly and the transition from higher speed of intermediate section to low speed of sealing is smooth (Fig 5-54).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to shape the groove so as to provide smooth motion before sealing and avoid any generation of particulates.

Art Unit: 1763

Claim 4: Hautau (US Patent 4134305) discloses a cylindrical cam structure (Fig 4-114) and a cam follower, which slides on a beam (Fig 4-122) to act as a guide for up/down movement.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a beam for guidance to the lifting mechanism adapted for Adam's load lock device.

Claim 6: References to first or second pressure in the claim appears to be for an intended use and does not structurally distinguish over prior art of Adam.

Claim 7: Adams discloses an interface of doors (Col 4 line 16), which can go on openings (Fig 2-40 and 44), which makes it adaptable to be disposed between a loading station and a transfer chamber (Fig 2, Fig 1-26, 28).

Claim 11: Hautau and Predhome Jr have both disclosed a generally S shaped groove, which controls the speed at start, stop and intermediate movement. The transitions are so smooth and gradual that it is hard to say when one region stops and the other begins. More over these are apparatus specific adjustments and have no patentable significance.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams (US Patent 6959507) in view of Predhome Jr (US Patent Re 30188) and Hautau (US Patent 4134305) as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Phillips et al (US Patent 4889319).

Adams discloses seals on his multi-chamber load-locking device but does not disclose that the seals are O-ring seals.

O-ring seals are well known in the art as disclosed by Phillips et al (Col 1-31).

Art Unit: 1763

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use O ring seals on Adam's load lock to provide for the reliable seal, Oring seals are known for.

Response to Amendment

Applicants arguments filed on 10/30/2002 have been considered but not found to be persuasive. Applicant's arguments and examiners response follows:

Cam mechanism of Hautau or Predhome Jr cannot be used for lifting a Applicant: heavy weight apparatus.

The cam mechanism of Predhome Jr is exactly alike the claimed cam Examiner: mechanism. Absent any claimed strength of structure used, this argument carries no weight.

Adams in no way suggests eliminating the two plates as pressure Applicant: differential is related to the structure.

Actual pressure or pressure differential is related to intended use and does Examiner: not impart patentability. The apparatus discloses by Adam is capable of creating and maintaining pressure differential. Also omission of an element with a corresponding omission of function is within the level of ordinary skill. (In re Wilson 153 USPQ 740 (CCPA 1967) and others)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/650,122

Art Unit: 1763

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK January 6, 2003

N